Dustin,

Let me see how it goes (RE: Jacob). That's a great offer, but all the uploading has to be done within the Kentico content management system and the projects can only be checked out by one person at a time so we could run into issues with that. If he/the team can have all the zip files ready to load (with the 4 dirs and readme files) it should go fairly quickly.

If it was the old system, it would be just moving files via directories.

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, December 12, 2017 11:02 AM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Cc: Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Posting submissions

Sara,

In talking with our team today, we do think we'd like to keep the Submit Comment/View Comment feature that the SHA-3 page of submissions had.

Also, Jacob was wondering if he is able to upload things, as then he could spare you some of the work of uploading submissions. Thought I'd ask to see if it's possible or not.

Dustin

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 4:20 PM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Posting submissions

This submission is pretty clear cut – some of the ones in SP seem to have more than one zip file or .tar/.gz files. Also, from your spreadsheet it looks like some have submitted new code after 12/1 so I'd want to make sure to have the right one. How long are you giving people to provide information (i.e., optical media) if you emailed them with questions?

Were submitter names and orgs collected anywhere? Or do they need to be extracted from each submission (if we are including them all on the web page).

Sara

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 12:13 PM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Posting submissions

I've attached a submission. Most are somewhat like this one, but there are of course variations. We can give all of them to you as .zip files. Most did submit that way, but not all.

Each zip file should have 4 folders: KAT, Optimized Implementation, Reference Implementation, Supporting Documentation, and also a README.txt file.

Sometimes they included other stuff, but this is what we asked for. The cover sheet and main specification document should be in the Supporting Documentation.

Dustin

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 11:58 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Posting submissions

I'm not sure how much the team used the comments – if you look at the "History of Updates" link, you can see when comments were added.

RE: Principal Submitters -- thanks Dan! ☹   I'll ask Jim if there is a pop up or anything we can do.

My last day here is Dec 21 – can you forward me a sample submission package of what will I will need to include? There may be 508-compliant stuff that has to be done, etc.   Did most submitters send their packages as a zip file?
        I will be at home Dec 26 and 27 and can work on anything that didn't get finished before the 21st.

Sara

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 11:49 AM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Posting submissions

Sara,
    Okay, so we'll use zip files. Overall, I think the format looks good to me. If Jim has any tools to help, that'd be good. Do you know if the Submit Comment/View Comment got used much? I wasn't aware we'd done that.

As for principal submitters, Dan started a trend where the whole team is the principal submitter, and

there are no auxiliary submitters. So we might need to list several people's names.

I'll think about the current webpage, and what changes I think would be good….

If we want to get this all to you before you go on leave, when do you think that deadline should be?

Dustin

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 11:42 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Posting submissions

Hey Dustin,
I was looking into this last week. Here is a link to the wayback archive:
https://web.archive.org/web/20100801013340/csrc.nist.gov/groups/ST/hash/sha-3/Round1/submissions_rnd1.html We did use zip files. We did not provide any contact information, unless it was included within the zip file by the submitter.   As you can see, we later added the "Submitter's Website" if they had one.

We purposefully only included the principal submitters name because the page would have been too long including all. By Round 3 - we included all contributors.

Do you want to follow a similar format? I would also like to talk to Jim in case he has a better way, with the new content management system. He was out last week also, so I thought I'd let him catch up on work first! ☺

We can update the pages now – RE evaluating vs. calling for submissions. Just let me know what you would like it to say.

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 11:33 AM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Subject: Posting submissions

Sara,
    Is the link where all the SHA-3 submissions were posted still available? Or do you know what it looked like? What format did we post things? Zip files? Did we include everything the submitter sent us? Is there any info (like telephone numbers) that we shouldn't post?

Most of our submissions are reasonable size, but there are a few that are quite large (more than 100mb).   Do you have a better idea of when your last day here will be before you go on leave?

After Christmas, we probably should update our webpage to match more our status of evaluating submissions, rather than calling for them.

Dustin